Hydration and cooling in elite athletes: relationship with performance, body mass loss and body temperatures during the Doha 2019 IAAF World Athletics Championships
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ABSTRACT

Purpose To characterise hydration, cooling, body mass loss, and core (Tcore) and skin (Tsk) temperatures during World Athletics Championships in hot-humid conditions.

Methods Marathon and race-walk (20 km and 50 km) athletes (n=83, 36 women) completed a pre-race questionnaire. Pre-race and post-race body weight (n=74), Tcore (n=56) and Tsk (n=49; thermography) were measured.

Results Most athletes (93%) had a pre-planned drinking strategy (electrolytes (83%), carbohydrates (81%)) while ice slurry was less common (11%; p<0.001). More men than women relied on electrolytes and carbohydrates (91%–93% vs 67%–72%; p=0.029). Drinking strategies were based on personal experience (91%) rather than external sources (p=0.001). Most athletes (80%) planned pre-cooling (ice vests (53%), cold towels (45%), neck collars (21%) and ice slurry (21%)) and/or mid-cooling (93%; head/face dousing (65%) and cold water ingestion (52%)). Menthol usage was negligible (1%–2%). Pre-race Tcore was lower in athletes using ice vests (37.5°C±0.4°C vs 37.8°C±0.3°C, p=0.024). Tcore (pre-race 37.7°C±0.3°C; post-race 39.6°C±0.6°C) was independent of event, ranking or performance (p=0.225). Pre-race Tsk was correlated with faster race completion (r=0.32, p=0.046) and was higher in non-finishers (did not finish; DNF); 33.8°C±0.9°C vs 32.6°C±1.4°C, p=0.017). Body mass loss was higher in men than women (−2.8±1.5% vs −1.3±1.6%, p<0.001), although not associated with performance.

Conclusion Most athletes’ hydration strategies were pre-planned based on personal experience. Ice vests were the most adopted pre-cooling strategy and the only one minimising Tcore, suggesting that event organisers should be cognisant of logistics (ie, freezers). Dehydration was moderate and unrelated to performance. Pre-race Tsk was related to performance and DNF, suggesting that Tsk modulation should be incorporated into pre-race strategies.
Pennsylvania, USA) on a tripod ~1.5 m above the floor, ~4 m from the course.

**Measures**

**Questionnaire**
Participants completed a pre-competition questionnaire (available in 12 different languages, online supplemental appendix 1) in the days preceding their event. The questionnaire included: (1) demographic data; (2) drinking strategy, quantity, content and knowledge source; (3) pre-cooling strategy and (4) mid-cooling strategy.

**Body mass**
A calibrated scale (Seca 769, Hamburg, Germany) was placed before the start (entrance of the call room; pre-race) and upon finishing (before the mixed zone; post-race). Body mass loss was expressed as a percentage of pre-race body mass (both measured from towel-dried athletes wearing their race ensemble).

**Skin temperature**
High-resolution thermal images (FLIR T600, 480×360 pixel infrared resolution, thermal sensitivity <40 mK; FLIR Systems, Oregon USA) were taken before and after competition from a 4 m distance and processed using commercially available software (Flirt Tools+, FLIR systems, Oregon, USA). Regions of interest (ROIs; head, neck, trunk, shoulder, upper and lower arm, upper and lower leg) were identified posteriorly and anteriorly (both sides when applicable). The camera and software analysis were set for human skin emissivity (ε=0.98) to analyse the temperature of each ROI, with corrections for distance and reflected Table 1  Participant characteristics and environmental conditions per race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Marathon</th>
<th>20KRW</th>
<th>50KRW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Participants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>32.6±6.0</td>
<td>30.6±3.3</td>
<td>26.2±5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>162±5</td>
<td>176±7</td>
<td>162±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>48.3±4.3</td>
<td>60.8±4.5</td>
<td>50.6±4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environmental conditions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>32.0±0.7</td>
<td>29.3±0.5</td>
<td>31.6±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH (%)</td>
<td>77.9±2.3</td>
<td>46.3±1.0</td>
<td>76.6±3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind speed (m.s⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.1±0.2</td>
<td>0.4±0.5</td>
<td>0.3±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBGT (°C)</td>
<td>29.6±0.3</td>
<td>23.5±0.4</td>
<td>28.9±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop (km)</td>
<td>6×7 km</td>
<td>20×1 km</td>
<td>20×1 km</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Performance**

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>personals best (hour:min:sec)</td>
<td>2:30:33±0:02:51</td>
<td>2:11:40±0:03:11</td>
<td>1:29:01±0:02:27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finish time (%PB)</td>
<td>112.3±4.9</td>
<td>107.5±4.4</td>
<td>112.1±4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNF (medical)</td>
<td>6 (5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQ</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table values in n or mean±SD for the study participants.

DNF, did not finish; DQ, disqualified; 20KRW, 20 km race-walk; 50KRW, 50 km race-walk; %PB, percentage of personal best; RH, relative humidity; WBGT, wet-bulb globe temperature.

**Figure 1**  Drinking strategy during the World Athletics Championships. Left panel: percentage of athletes declaring to include the described drink in their strategy. Right panel: drink quantity planned by race (in % of athletes). *P<0.05. 20KRW, 20 km race-walk; 50KRW, 50 km race-walk.
temperature of the environment applied, as well as a check for camera accuracy (using two 20×20 cm reference plates—mat black and crumpled aluminium foil connected to a thermistor). Skin was towel dried before measurement. The average of the 26 ROIs was computed and included in the analysis as mean $T_{sk}$.\textsuperscript{14}

Core temperature

$T_{core}$ (precision 0.1°C, 1 recording every 30 s) was recorded using e-Celsius ingestible capsules (BodyCap, Caen, France) along with instructions to ingest 4–6 hours pre-race.

Performance

Ranking and finishing times were extracted from the official race results. Times were normalised to the personal best of the athletes (%PB, obtained from World Athletics' official database).

Statistical analyses

Data were analysed in Wizard (V.1.9.41, Evan Miller). Categorical variables were compared using X² tests (eg, effect of event on planning to use electrolytes). The effect of a category on a continuous variable was analysed through a two-tailed t-test (eg, effect of sex on body weight loss). A Spearman’s rank correlation (p) was used to analyse the relationship between multiple level category on continuous data (eg, effect of hydration strategy on body weight loss). Answers to different questions were compared as repeated measures using a McNemar test (eg, pre-cooling vs mid-cooling strategy). Sidak adjustments for multiple comparisons were applied for post-hoc analyses. A p value of <0.05 was used for statistical significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Drinking strategy

Debate regarding drinking to thirst versus a pre-planned hydration strategy is ongoing.\textsuperscript{15,16} The present data indicate that regardless of the event (p=0.108), personal experience (91%) is the major determinant for athletes’ hydration strategy rather than a coach (38%), nutritionist (28%), scientist (27%), further reading (20%) or expected fluid availability (9%) (all p<0.001 compared with experience). The present data did not differentiate whether personal experience meant ‘having spent years developing a hydration plan’ (potentially guided by science) or familiarity/confidence in ‘relying on thirst’. Thus, any strategy/intervention requires piloting away from competition before adoption within a race. Coach recommendations were more influential than personal reading (p=0.011), suggesting that practitioners should communicate evidence-informed practice through the coach. Athletes relying on a ‘scientist’ achieved higher ranking than those who did not (15±12 vs 24±13, p=0.013). This may however be a function of the top-ranked athletes having superior access to sport science support as the relative individual performance was not different (112±4 vs 114%±7%PB, p=0.310). No effect of planned hydration volume, nor using electrolytes or carbohydrates, was evident on %PB (all p>0.100).

Given the large variability in sweat rates (interindividual differences, acclimatisation, clothing, environmental conditions, etc.),\textsuperscript{4,17} most consensus statements recommend minimising fluid deficit, but do not mention specific fluid amounts.\textsuperscript{2,18} The current data provide insight into these amounts and how they depended on event (figure 1, p=0.033). Athletes intending to drink >2 L were most frequent in the 50 km race-walk (50KRW) compared with other events (82% vs 41%, p<0.001), likely due to the longer 50KRW duration (4 hours 30 min 01 s±17 min 42 s) compared with the 20 km race-walk (20KRW) (1 hour 36 min 49 s±5 min 22 s) and marathon (2 hours 32 min 27 s±18 min 47 s). However, despite the marathon lasting longer than the 20KRW, the absence of difference in hydration strategy (p=0.678) suggests that marathoners plan to drink less than their 20KRW counterparts, with an estimation of 0.7±0.3 L.h\textsuperscript{−1} for marathon vs 1.1±0.4 L.h\textsuperscript{−1} for 20KRW (p<0.001). While this may partly be due to fluid access (20KRW: 2 stations per 1 km loop; marathon: 4 stations per 7 km loop), fluid availability was high during all races, and the difference is likely more related to the physiological/practical challenge to absorb/consume fluids while running at ~20 km.h\textsuperscript{−1}. Indeed, a previous study estimated fluid intake of elite marathoners to be 0.55±0.34 L.h\textsuperscript{−1} in a range of environmental temperatures between 0°C and 30°C.\textsuperscript{6}

Hydration and nutrition recommendations prescribe sodium and carbohydrate ingestion, alongside/with appropriate fluid intake during prolonged endurance exercise in the heat.\textsuperscript{2,18,19} The present data suggest these recommendations are well translated...
During the 2015 World Athletics Championships, 72% of the long distance athletes (5000 m and above) reported drinking electrolytes and carbohydrates during their race, respectively (figure 1). Pre-cooling methods were also planning mid-cooling, likely prolonging its benefits.

In 2015, before the World Athletics Championships long distance events, the most common pre-cooling methods were ice vests (53%) and cold towels (45%), followed by neck collars (21%) and ice slurry (21%) (figure 2). It appears that athletics followed the trend already observed in cycling in adopting ice vests as a common pre-cooling strategy. Pre-cooling strategies were independent of event (p≥0.218), except for ice vests (p=0.012) with a higher prevalence in race-walk (65%) than marathon (31%, p≤0.028). We also observed a slight reduction in leg cold water immersion which was planned by 11% of the athletes in 2015, 9% of the cyclists in 2016 and 6% of the athletes in the current study. Given the potential detrimental effect of leg cooling on muscle function, the increase in ice vest and decrease in leg cooling usage is in line with current recommendations.

The ranking of athletes planning to use ice vests (18±4) and cold towels (17±12) was better than those who did not (26±14 and 25±14, respectively, p≤0.027), while athletes planning leg cooling were lower ranked than others (43±7 vs 20±13, p=0.001). This may be due to the best athletes having superior access to sports science expertise/support as the pre-cooling strategy did not influence the relative performance of the athletes (%PB, all p≥0.236). While there was no clear effect of any specific pre-cooling method compared with others (acknowledging that most athletes used at least one method), performance was related to pre-race Tₚₑₑ (% if personal best, r=0.32, p=0.046). DNF had a higher pre-race skin temperature than finishers (*p=0.017). Square, diamond and triangle represent marathon, 20 km and 50 km race-walks, respectively. Blue and pink symbols represent men and women, respectively. %PB, percentage of personal best.

Pre-cooling strategy
Several reviews (with and without meta-analyses) have suggested pre-cooling as an ergogenic strategy for endurance exercise performance in hot ambient conditions. Fifty-six per cent of the long distance athletes (5000 m and above) planned pre-cooling before the 2015 World Athletics Championships, and 72% of the cyclists planned pre-cooling before the 2016 World Cycling Championships road races. The current data showed that 80% of the athletes planned to use pre-cooling in 2019 (although less common than mid-cooling, p=0.004, figure 2). While pre-cooling effects may be overvalued in laboratory studies, particularly when externally valid air flow is not incorporated within the experimental design, 99% of athletes planning pre-cooling were also planning mid-cooling, likely prolonging its benefits.

Mid-cooling strategy
Most athletes (93%, independent of event p=0.244) planned to use mid-cooling, predominately head/face water dousing (65%) and cold water ingestion (52%). Other strategies including menthol-based interventions (2%) were less frequently reported (figure 2), likely due to the challenges of mouth rinsing during high-intensity running and the possibility to use ‘real’ rather than perceptual cooling. However, position statements proposing menthol as an ergogenic aid for endurance in hot ambient conditions have been published in 2020. Whether these perceptual ergogenic practical challenges as ice slurry machines can be difficult to transport, and ice slurry ingestion may induce gastrointestinal discomfort in some athletes.
effects translate into performance improvements in elite athletes is not widely accepted. Lastly, several athletes replied to an open question that they were also planning to use cold towels and/or various methods to apply ice on the hands, head, face and neck (eg, neck ice socks, caps and bespoke legionnaire hats, figure 2). Recent data report some race-runners may use a misting shower, but this was not available during the 2019 World Championships.

Pre-race temperatures
Pre-race $T_{sk}$ and $T_{core}$ were $32.7°C±1.5°C$ and $37.7°C±0.3°C$, respectively (independent of event, $p=0.346$), representing the first reference for an outdoor World Championships. For comparison, a $−31.5°C$ $T_{sk}$ was previously observed using a similar thermography protocol post warm-up in elite Olympic amateur boxing athletes, and a $37.7°C±0.3°C$ $T_{core}$ was observed before a half marathon in amateur athletes. However, $T_{core}$ was also reported to increase from $37.3°C$ to $38.2°C$ during warm-up in elite rugby sevens athletes, suggesting that the current athletes attempted to minimise their $T_{core}$ increase during warm-up as recommended before competing in the heat. Indeed, the current athletes using an ice vest had a lower pre-race $T_{core}$ than the ones not using one ($37.5°C±0.4°C$ (n=19) vs $37.8°C±0.3°C$ (n=22), $p=0.024$). Pre-race $T_{core}$ was not statistically related to performance or did not finish (DNF) rate ($p=0.240$). Conversely, pre-race $T_{sk}$ was correlated (although with small/moderate association) with a faster race ($r=0.32$, $p=0.046$, figure 3) and DNF athletes had a higher pre-race $T_{sk}$ than finishers ($33.8°C±0.9°C$ vs $32.6°C±1.4°C$, $p=0.014$). Cooling $T_{sk}$ has been suggested to reduce the cardiovascular strain by improving the core to skin temperature gradient. Notable within/between participant and ROIs variance was evident (figure 4); and the variety of pre-cooling methods, doses and warm-ups prevents statistically associating pre-cooling with performance (all $p≥0.259$).

Race temperatures
Post-race $T_{sk}$ ($32.9°C±1.6°C$) depended on event ($p<0.001$) and was lower post-marathon ($31.8°C±2.2°C$) than post-20KRW ($33.6°C±0.7°C$) and 50KRW ($33.4°C±0.5°C$) (both $p≤0.020$). However, the lowest $T_{sk}$ marathon values were specific to men ($30.3°C±0.9°C$ vs $34.4°C±1.0°C$ for women, $p<0.001$) as this race was undertaken in less stressful environmental conditions (table 1). Overall, the post-race $T_{sk}$ measured in this study should be considered with extreme caution as athletes used various mid-cooling approaches during the race and/or on crossing the finish line. Moreover, $T_{sk}$ during or after running may be affected by the vasoconstrictor response. Peak $T_{core}$ ($39.6°C±0.6°C$, independent of event $p=0.499$) correlated to the pre-race $T_{core}$ ($r=0.42$, $p=0.006$) but was not related to performance or ranking ($p≥0.225$). As shown previously, World Championships medallists do reach extremely high $T_{core}$ ($41.5°C$). Final $T_{core}$ and $T_{sk}$ were not statistically related to any mid-cooling strategies, with most athletes using at least one such approach.

Body mass loss
Average body mass loss ($2.2%±1.7%$) was dependent on event ($p<0.001$) with the smallest reduction in the 20KRW ($−1.4%±1.3%$) alongside greater reductions in the 50KRW ($−2.7%±1.7%$, $p=0.007$) and marathon ($−3.3%±1.6%$, $p<0.001$) (figure 5). The difference between the 20KRW and 50KRW may partly be explained by the event duration, while the largest decrease during the marathon is likely due to the lower drinking strategy (see above). These observations were confirmed at the individual level, where the greatest body mass losses were observed during the marathon ($−6.4%$ marathon vs $−4.8%$ in 20/50KRW, figure 5). These values are lower than the $8.8%±2.2%$ body mass loss previously estimated for elite marathoners. Some surprising individual data were seen in the 20KRW where an athlete gained $+2.5%$ of her body mass during the race due to excessive fluid intake, a strategy not conducive to performance gains and not recommended due to the risks for hyponatraemia. The body mass loss may however have been underestimated due to sweat (or water dousing) being trapped in clothing, despite athletes towel drying and wearing minimal clothing (online supplemental appendix 1). Body mass loss has been previously shown to be inversely related to performance during mass participation marathons, with the best runners losing more weight than the slower runners. Yet, the current data do not support this relationship in elite runners, as body mass loss was not correlated to ranking ($r=−0.17$, $p=0.198$) or relative completion time ($r=0.18$, $p=0.171$).

Effect of sex
The current nutritional recommendations for exercising in the heat are not sex specific, but suggest that men could be at higher risk of dehydration, due to their larger muscle mass and

Figure 4  Example of different pre-cooling strategies and their repercussion on pre-race skin temperature.
water content. The current data partly support this concept by showing higher body mass losses in men than women (−2.8±1.5% vs −1.3±1.6%, p<0.001, figure 3). One could argue that this may be linked to the singular environmental conditions during the marathons (table 1), but this difference persists when analysing the race-walks only (p=0.003). There were also sex differences in hydration strategies. The current data showed that less women than men planned electrolyte (72% vs 91%, p=0.029) and carbohydrate (67% vs 93%, p=0.003) consumption, although these strategies remained popular for both sexes. When pooled data were analysed, although higher in men, dehydration values remained reasonable in both sexes (figure 5). Five of the six athletes ‘overdrinking’ and gaining weight during their event were women.

The prevalence of planning pre-cooling (p=0.731) or mid-cooling (p=0.755), nor cooling intervention-type selection (all p≥0.164), did not depend on sex, aside from ice slurry consumption for pre-cooling purposes, which was more prevalent in women than men (31% vs 13%, p=0.047); an observation already reported during the 2015 World Athletics Championships. There was no effect of sex on T core or T sk (p≥0.088, figure 3), confirming that the impact of sex on thermoregulation may be lower than originally believed, especially within athletes with a similar anthropometry.

**Strategic nuances of African athletes**

Athletes from 28 countries participated in the study. Although only eight African athletes completed the questionnaires, some responses were specific. For example, two of the seven marathon runners from Africa (ie, 29%) planned no fluid intake, whereas none of the 22 other marathon runners who completed the questionnaire declared so. Although such limited fluid intake is in line with previous observations in elite African runners, those two runners ranked in the second half of the finishers. Similarly, less African (40%) compared with European (86%, p=0.033) and North American (91%, p=0.058) athletes planned to use electrolytes. Reflective of these adopted strategies, body mass losses were −3.9±1.7% in the seven African athletes measured vs −2.0±1.6% in the other athletes (p=0.001).

Likewise, only 25% of the African athletes planned to use pre-cooling compared with 85% in other athletes (p=0.004), and only 50% of the African athletes planned mid-cooling compared with 97% in other athletes (p<0.001). It is unclear if these differences are a tactical choice or self-imposed by logistical issues.

**Methodological considerations**

The 83 questionnaires reported in the current study represented (only) 26% of the 326 road race participants, but it covered from first (ie, gold medal) to last position during the World Championships. Planned strategy may not correspond to the actual strategies implemented on race day, but elite athletes are usually advised not to deviate from familiarised race day plans. T sk may have been influenced by numerous external factors (eg, pre-cooling and mid-cooling strategy), including ice or water dousing after the race, despite the thermography occurring <10 m from the finish line (online supplemental appendix 1).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Most athletes (93%) had a pre-planned drinking strategy based on personal experience, and a pre-cooling plan (80%), predominately an ice vest (53%). Mid-cooling (93%) was more prevalent than pre-cooling, with water dousing (65%) and cold water ingestion (52%) being the most popular. Ice slurry ingestion was not common and menthol-based strategies atypical.

Body mass loss was higher in men than women (−2.8±1.5% vs −1.3±1.6%) and was not related to performance. Higher pre-race T sk (33.8°C±0.9°C) was observed in DNF athletes compared with those athletes who finished (32.6°C±1.4°C). Within finishers, a lower pre-race T sk was correlated with faster
race completion. These data provide guidance for event organisers to support athlete needs (eg, freezers for ice vests) in minimising their temperature increase during a warm-up.

What are the findings?

- Most (93%) endurance athletes had a pre-planned drinking strategy including water (85%), electrolytes (83%) and carbohydrates (81%).
- Pre-cooling (80%; mainly ice vests 53% and cold towels 45%) and mid-cooling (93%; mainly head/face water dousing 65% and cold water ingestion 52%) were commonly pre-planned by athletes.
- Ice slurry ingestion (pre-cooling 21%, mid-cooling 11%) and menthol-based interventions (pre-cooling 1%, mid-cooling 2%) were less common in athletes.
- Although potentially underestimated due to wet clothing, relative body mass loss was higher in men than women (−2.8±1.5% vs −1.3±1.6%), and not associated with performance outcome (eg, race time, ‘did not finish’ (DNF) or placing).
- Athletes who used ice vests had a lower pre-race core temperature (Tcore, 37.5°C±0.4°C vs 37.8°C±0.3°C), but this did not translate into a statistical performance benefit.
- DNF had a higher pre-race skin temperature (Tsk; 33.8°C±0.9°C vs 32.6°C±1.4°C), while within finishers a lower pre-race Tsk was moderately associated with faster race completion (r=0.32).

How might it impact on clinical practice in the future?

- Drinking strategies were based predominately on personal experience, indicating the importance of piloting such strategies/interventions away from competition.
- Pre-race temperature management, including Tsk, is important for prolonged endurance exercise in hot-humid conditions.
- Event organisers should consider the logistical facilities required (ie, freezers) to facilitate ice vest usage at warm-up, as this is a common choice by athletes with good evidence to minimise Tcore increase during warm-up.
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